STEREOCHEMICAL STUDIES OF TRICYCLOI6.2.1.0.^{1.6}]UNDECANES--I

THE STEREOCHEMISTRY OF INTERMEDIATES INVOLVED IN THE SYNTHESIS OF TRICYCLOVETIVANE SESQUITERPENES

C. W. **GREENGRASS** and R. **RAMAGE***

The Robert Robinson Laboratories, University of Liverpool, Liverpool L69 3BX

and

A. F. **CAMERON and N. J. HAIR**

Department of Chemistry, University of Glasgow, Glasgow Gl2 8QQ

(Received in the UK 17 June 1974; Accepted for publication 15 August 1974)

Abstract-The stereospecificity of addition to the double bond of 2-substituted bicyclo[6.2.1.0¹⁴]undec - 5 - enes **has been studied and shown to be influenced mainly by the bicycloheptyl moiety in the case of epoxidation and hydroboration. These reactions proceed to give predominantly products of** *endo* **attack on the double bond. However** for osmylation the important effect is the stereochemistry of the C₂-substituent due to steric interactions involved in the cyclic osmate ester intermediate. This results in attack by OsO₄ on the double bond *trans* to the C₂-substituent.

A **key step** in our synthesis of zizanoic acid (8) involved rearrangement of the monomesylate derived from the diol (4 or 6) produced by OsO₄ reaction with $\Delta^{5,6}$ - tricyclo-[6.2.1.0¹⁴]undecene (1). Although the stereochemistry of the rearrangement product (7) could be assigned by direct comparison with authentic material obtained by degradation of methyl zizanoate (9), the stereochemistry of the **diol** (4 or 6) could only be tentatively assigned the β -diol structure (6) on the basis of preferential exe-attack on the bicyclo[2.2.l]heptyl system incorporated in **1.** A **similar** interpretation was made by Yoshikoshi²† and ourselves for the oxymylation product (13) derived from the epimeric ester (10), however in our hands this reaction was less stereospecific than for the β -COOMe epimer and led to a minor diol for which we ascribe the structure **15.** This stereochemical argument based on exo-attack, however, neglected the steric effect of the substituent at C_2 . Comparison of the NMR spectra of the epimeric esters **(1** and **10)** indicated that the @COOMe was axial (H, δ 2.69; AA' Xt, J = 4 Hz) and the α -COOMe equatorial (H, δ 2.50; AA'Xt, J = 12, 3 Hz). Conformational change to the half-boat form of **1** would place the C_2 - β substituent in a pseudo-equatorial conformation but would at the same time, introduce eclipsing of the C_2 - β substituent with the C_{11} methylene group. If the axial β -COOMe group in 1 hindered the approach of OsO₄ and,

most importantly, the development of the cyclic osmate ester then the α -diol (4) would be expected. Rearrangement of the monomesylate (5) by a concerted process involving inversion of configuration at C_5 would lead to the 58-H ketone (7) which was indeed the product having the important stereochemical features of the zizane or tricyclovetivane sesquiterpenes.

The earlier conclusion that rearrangement of 6 with inversion of configuration at C_5 would lead to 7 was in error. An analogy³ for the rearrangement of 5 to 7 is to be found in the kinetically **controlled conversion of 17 to 18.**

In order to settle the stereochemical problems outlined above it was decided to use X-ray methods to elucidate the structures of the diols formed by osmylation of the olefins **1** and **10.** Since this latter case was not stereospecific the major isomer was selected and converted into the monochloroacetate (14) in order to produce suitable crystals for X-ray analysis by direct methods. Crystallisation of the diol (4) afforded suitable crystals without the necessity of derivatisation. Full details of the analyses and molecular geometrics have been reported' elsewhere.

The X-ray data revealed that osmylation of **1** and **10 was** directed by the stereochemistry of the COOMe group at C_2 i.e. trans to that substituent. From Dreiding models this can be rationalised by considering the steric interactions involved in the intermediate osmate esters in which the cyclohexane ring assumes a boat conformation in order to accommodate the cis fused S-membered osmate ester. In the case of the β -COOMe substituent the cyclic osmate corresponding to the β -diol (6) would involve interactions between the $OsO₄$ moiety and the

t\ire thank Professor Yoshikoshi for comparison of samples of the diol (13) and the keto ester (16) produced by rearrangement of the monomesylate. Production of the corresponding 5β -H epimer **reported previously was probably derived from the minor diol (IS).**

 β -COOMe group whereas these interactions are absent in the corresponding intermediate from which the α -diol (4) was derived. The same considerations applied to the intermediates produced by osmylation of 10 would favour the β -diol (13) found by X-ray analysis.

Osmylation of the olefin (12) gave similar results to that found in the case of the corresponding ester (10) in that two diols (19 and 20) were formed. It has been reported' that when OH and Me groups have a l-3 diaxial relationship the Me is deshielded by 0~10-0~15 ppm. Thus the minor diol could be assigned the structure 20 in which the secondary C_2 -Me and the tertiary OH are 1-3 diaxial and exhibits the expected 0.15 ppm deshielding of the secondary Me relative to the major diol (19). The change from COOMe to Me for the C_2 substituent in 10 and 11 does not affect the stereochemistry of osmylation.

In order to probe more deeply into the factors influencing the stereochemistry of addition reactions in the tricyclo[6.2.1.0¹⁶]undec - 5 - ene system, it was decided to investigate reactions involving 3 or 4 membered intermediates i.e. expoxidation and hydroboration. Further, in order to ascertain whether the effect of the C_2 substituent was general or specific to the COOMe group a parallel series of reactions were carried out on the corresponding olefins (3 and 12) having a C_2 -Me substituent. These were synthesised from the enone esters (21 and 22) by reduction $(LAH/AlCl₃)$ to the alcohols (2) and 11) which were converted into the corresponding mesylates and further reduced (LAH).

Treatment of the β -Me olefin (3) with m-chloroperbenzoic acid in $Et₂O$ afforded a single epoxide (23/24).

Acid catalysed rearrangement (BF_3, Et_2O) produced a ketone (20%) and an alcohol (60%) . The ketone (25) [vmax (CCL) 1712 cm⁻¹] was the product of kinetic control since it could be transformed into the C_6 epimer (26) $[\nu \max$ (CCL) 1700 cm⁻¹] on acid treatment. Consideration of the CD spectra of the ketones (25 and 26) in the light of the octant rule⁶ for cyclic ketones indicated the stereochemistry shown. Provided that the cyclohexanone moiety in 25 and 26 adopts a chair conformation we can consider the octant diagrams (25 and 26) for these respectively (Fig 1). The octant rule would predict that the ketone having the β -ring function (25) should exhibit a negative Cotton effect, whereas the ketone having the a-ring junction (26) would show a positive Cotton effect. Thus the epoxide produced from the β -Me olefin has the structure 24 resulting from epoxidation at the α -face trans to the β -Me substitute at C_2 .

The alcohol, which was the major rearrangement product of the epoxide (24) had spectral data suggestive of the alcohol (27) which was confirmed by controlled oxidative degradation to methyl ketopinate (30) via the ketone (28) which was converted into the furfurylidene derivative then ozonised to give an olefinic diacid resistant to 0, even under moderately forcing conditions. The diester (29) was oxidised (K $MnO₄/Na$ IO₄) to methyl ketopinate (39) which was identical with authentic material. This series of reactions is consistant with structure 27 in which the stereochemistry of the -OH substituent may be assigned from its derivation from the α -epoxide (24).

The production of 25 and 27 can be explained by

Fig₁

 BF_3 Et₂O fission of the oxirane ring in 24 to a carbonium ion which may subsequently be neutralised by a l-2 hydride shift provided this is stereochemically favourable i.e. the C-H bond broken should be coplanar with the vacant p-orbital of the incipient carbonium ion $(38)^{7.8}$ Alternatively bond migration may occur followed by deprotonation to give the rearranged skeleton 27. The cis relationship of the migrating alkyl substituent and the C-O bond cleaved in this rearrangement clearly indicates that this transformation is not concerted and involves an intermediate with high degree of carbonium ion character. This finding has important consequences in the reaction of isolongifolene epoxide which will be discussed in the following paper.

Examination of Dreiding models of an extreme form of such an intermediate (38) in which the epoxide has opened to the C₅ carbonium ion in the half-chair conformation shows that the C-H bond involved in formation of 25 does not have maximal overlap with the vacant p-orbital of the carbonium ion. Better overlap is observed between the carbonium ion and the l-10 bond involved in the rearrangement to 27.

Reduction (LAH) of the α -epoxide (24) afforded the tertiary alcohol (32) which was identical with the alcohol derived from epoxidation of 1 followed reduction (LAH), to 31a, mesylation and further reduction (LAH). Thus epoxidation of the β -Me and β -COOMe C₂-substituted series have the same high stereospecificity as osmylation.

Hydrobomtion of the olefin (3) gave a secondary alcohol (36) which could be oxidised, under non-epimerising conditions, to the thermodynamically more stable ketone (26) identical to that produced by equilibration of the acidcatalysed rearrangement product of the α -epoxide (24). Again stereospecific α -attack of the C₂ β -substituted series was found to occur during hydroboration as in the case of osmylation and epoxidation.

Epoxidation of the C_2 α -Me olefin (12), in contrast to the β -series gave an inseparable mixture of epoxides (39) and 40) in which one predominated (80%). Acid-catalysed rearrangement of the epoxide mixture alforded the rearranged alcohol (27) together with a separable mixture of ketones 41 [ν max (CCL) 1712 cm⁻¹] and 42 [ν max (CCL) 1695 cm-']. The contiguration of the OH group in 27 produced in this reaction showed that the α -epoxide (40) was the progenitor. For comparison the epimeric alcohol (28) was prepared by oxidation-reduction and was clearly distinguishable from 27. The stereochemistry of the ketones (41 and 42) was assigned on the basis of the octant rule from consideration of the octant diagrams (Fig 1). Equilibration of these ketones led to a 1: 1 mixture due to the gre-

Me from the axial conformation would be destabilised by catalysed rearrangement products 27 and 41 (not equilib-
cclipsing of the C_x-Me substituent with the C₁₀-methylene rated under the reaction conditions) would ind eclipsing of the $C₂$ -Me substituent with the $C₁₀$ -methylene

ater stability of the 5α -H ring junction in 42 being offset group. In the case of the efficient transformation of 25 into
by forcing the C₂ α -Me into an axial conformation. Con-
26 both factors favour 26 having an by forcing the C₂ α -Me into an axial conformation. Con-
formational change to the boat form to release the C₂ α -
the 5 α -H ring junction. The isolation of the major acidformational change to the boat form to release the $C_2 \alpha$ the 5α -H ring junction. The isolation of the major acid-
Me from the axial conformation would be destabilised by catalysed rearrangement products 27 and 41 (no

the α -epoxide (40) predominates in epoxidation of the $C_2\alpha$ -Me olefin (12). Rearrangement of 40 to 27 and 41 can be explained exactly as in the case of the $C_2\beta$ -Me epoxide (24). However, rearrangement of the minor epoxide (39) must only have produced the ketone (42) since none of the predicted rearrangement product could be identified in the products. Consideration of the Dreiding model of the carbonium ion intermediate (43) derived from 39 reveals that the C-H bond involved in production of the ketone (42) has good overlap with the developing carbonium ion centre. In this situation the 1-2 hydride shift is strongly preferred to the rearrangement process leading to 28.

Reduction (LAH) of the epoxide (40) gave a tertiary alcohol (34) in which the C_2 -Me and the OH group are 1-3 diaxial with the consequence that the secondary Me resonance was deshielded by 0.15 ppm relative to the epimer (32). Epoxidation of the C, α -COOMe (10) followed by reduction (LAH) to 35, mesylation and further reduction (LAH) afforded the same tertiary alcohol (34). Thus peracid attacks 10 mainly from the α -face in contrast to the results discussed earlier for OsO₄ reaction which led predominantly to 13 resulting from exo-attack.

Hydroboration of the C_2 α -Me olefin (12) was found to be less stereospecific than the β series following the general pattern. The major product was oxidised $(CrO₃/Et₂O)$ to give 42 [ν max (CCL) 1695 cm⁻¹] under conditions which did not equilibrate the product. From the configuration of the ring junction it could be discerned that B_2H_6

preferentially attacks the olefin from the α -face to give 37.

The stereochemical results of osmylation, epoxidation and hydroboration of the $\Delta^{5.6}$ tricyclo[6.2.1.0^{1.6}]undecenes $(1, 3, 10, \text{and } 12)$ are shown in Fig 2. It can be seen that the steric effect of the C_2 - β substituent supports the tendency for this ring system to react with B_2H_6 and peracid by endo attack whereas in the C_2 - α series both effects are in opposition. In the case of osmylation the steric situation in the cyclic osmate ester must be considered and in the C_2 - α series the interactions involved in this intermediate disfavour *endo* attack and lead to the exo product.

EXPERIMENTAL

All m.ps are uncorrected, UV spectra wrere measured in EtOH using a Unicam SP 800 spectrometer. IR spectra were determined in soln (CHCI, or CCL as stated) using Unicam SP 200 or Perkin-Elmer 125 machines. NMR spectra were measured using Varian HA IO0 spectrometer and TMS as internal standard. Mass spectra were obtained from A.E.I MS 12 And MS 902 instruments (the latter with on-line computer). Analytical GLC was carried out using a Perkin-Elmer F 11 chromatograph. Optical rotation measurements are for CHCI, solns using a Bendix-Ericsson ETL-NPL automatic polarimeter type l43A. CD measurements were carried out by Dr P. Scopes at Westfield College.

The silica for chromatography was Merck Kieselgel 70-325 mesh. Light petroleum refers to the fraction b.p. 46-60'.

 2α - Carbomethoxy - 5 β - chloroacetoxy - 6 β - hydroxy - 7.7 dimethyltricyclo^{[6.2.1.0'*}]undecane (14). To a stirred soln containing 13 (104 mg, 0.39 mmole) and chloroacetyl chloride (55 mg, 0.49 mmole) in dry benzene (2 ml) was added dropwise a soln of pyridine (35 mg, 044 mmole) in dry benzene (I ml). The mixture was stirred at room temp for 22 h, diluted with ether and washed with $H₂O$ and brine. The ethereal soln was dried ($MgSO₄$) and the solvent removed in vacuo to give the crude product which was chromatographed over silica $(10g)$. Elution with 20% EtOAc in benzene atforded the pure 14 (123mg, 91%) crystallised from hexane-MeOAc, m.p. 159-160°, vmax (CHCl₃) 3610, 1720 cm⁻¹. NMR (CDCI₃) δ 0.92 (3H,S), 1.04 (3H,S) 3.10 (H,m), 3.62 (3H,S), 4.00 (2H.S). 5.36 (H,m). (Found; C, 59.28 H, 7.53; Cl, 10.16. C_1 ,H₂,O_s Cl requires: C, 59.21; H, 7.31; Cl, 10.29%).
2a - Carbomethoxy - 5a - 6 - oxo - 7,7 - dimethyltricyclo-

 $[6.2.1.0^{1.6}]$ undecane (16). Dry Et₃N (4 ml) was added dropwise, with stirring, to an ice-cold soln containing recrystallised 15 m.p. $111-112^{\circ}$ (184 mg, 0.69 mmole) and MeSO₂ Cl (63 mg, 0.55 mmole) in dry pyridine (8 ml). The deep red soln was stirred at room temp for $42 h$ and the solvent removed in vacuo at <35°. The residue was azeotroped $3X$ with benzene-heptane $(1:1)$ followed by $Et₂O/H₂O$ extraction. The aqueous layer was extracted with $Et₂O$ and the combined Et₂O layer dried *(MgSO₄)* and concentrated in vacuo. Chromatography over silica (20 g) and elution with 20% EtOAc in benzene provided the pure mesylate (1% mg) which was dissolved in pyridine (9 ml) and Et,N (4.5 ml) and retluxed 4 h. The solvent was removed in vacuo and partitioned $Et₂O/H₂O$. After the usual work up and chromatography over silica (I2g) the rearrangement product 16 (109 mg. 78%) was obtained on elution with 10% EtOAc in benzene followed by crystallisation from hexane, m.p. 94.5-95.5° [a]²⁶ -78° (2.3% CHCl₃). This product was found to be identical with the sample of 16 provided by Prof. A. Yoshikoshi.

2a - *Hydroxymethyl* - *7,7 -* dimethyltricyclo [6.2.l.O""] - undec - 5 - ene (11). A soln of LAH-AICI, was prepared by careful addition of a soln of LAH (600 mg, 15.8 mmole) in anhyd $Et₂O (30 ml)$ to a soln of AlCl, $(6.2 g, 46.6 mmole)$ in Et₂O $(30 ml)$. The enone 22 $(1-68 g, 0-68 mmole)$ in Et₂O (20 ml) was added to this soln. The

mixture was stirred at reflux 1.5 h then cooled to room temp. Water was carefully added to decompose excess hydride followed by 2N H₂SO₄. The aqueous layer was extracted with $Et₂O$ and the combined $Et₂O$ soln washed with brine, NaHCO₁ aq, brine then dried (MgSO₄). Removal of the solvent afforded the crude alcohol which was chromatographed over silica (50 g). Elution with 30% Et₂O in light petroleum yielded 12 $(0.91 g, 65%)$ as a viscous oil, b.p. $120^{\circ}/0.5$ mm; ν max (CHCl₃) 3600, 3450 cm⁻¹; NMR (CCL₁) δ 0.99 (3H,S), 1.03 (3H,S), 3.41 (H,q; J = 8, 10 Hz), 3.66 (H,q; J = 6, 10 Hz), 5.14 (H,t; J = 3 Hz). (Found C, 81.51, H, 11.03. $C_{14}H_{22}O$ requires: C, 81.50; H, 10~75%).

2s - *Hydroxymrrhyl* - *7,7* - *dimethyltricyclo [6.2.1 .O]"' - undec - 5 - ene (2).* General directions as for 12. The reagent was prepared by the addition of LAH $(1.0 g, 26.3 mmole)$ in Et₂O $(35 ml)$ to AlCl₃ (10.5 g, 79 mmole) in Et₂O (45 ml). The enone 21 (4.0 g, 16 mmole) was added in Et₂O (25 ml) and the mixture stirred under reflux for 1.5 h. Isolation as above afforded 2 which crystallised from hexane, m.p. 74-75°; vmax (CHCl₃) 3600, 3420 cm⁻¹; NMR (CCL) δ 0.98 (3H,S), 1.04 (3H,S), 3.37 (H,q; J = 8, 11 Hz), 3.55 $(H,q; J = 6, 11 Hz)$, 5.13 $(H,t, J = 4 Hz)$. (Found C, 81.62; H, 10.94. $C_{14}H_{22}O$ requires: 81.50; H 10.75%).

2a - Methyl - 7,7 - dimcthyltricyclo [6.2.1.0"7 - *undec* - 5 - cnc (12). To an ice-cold stirred soln of 11 (6oomg. 2.91 mmole) in $CH₂Cl₂$ (20 ml) containing Et₃N, (700 mg; 6.12 mmole) was added $MeSO₂Cl$ (700 mg, 6.12 mmole) in $CH₂Cl₂$ (3 ml). The mixture was stirred at 0° for 0.5 h then diluted with Et₂O and washed with H₂O, IN HCl, NaHCO, aq and brine. After drying (MgSO₄) and removal of the solvent the crude mesylate (950 mg) was obtained as a colourless oil which was used directly for reduction, ν max (CHCI,) 1180, 990, 970cm-'; NMR (CCL) 6 I.01 (3H,S), 1.04 $(3H,S)$, 2.89 $(3H,S)$, 4.03 $(H,q; J = 8, 10 Hz)$, 4.23 $(H,q; J = 6,$ lOHz), 5.20 (H,t; J=3Hz). Accurate mass *m/e* 284.1413 (30% M⁻), $C_{15}H_{24}O_3S$ (+1 ppm); 188-1585 (18), $C_{14}H_{20}$ (+11); 173-1323 (31), $C_{13}H_{17}$ (-4); 145.1022 (100), $C_{11}H_{13}$ (+13).

The above mesylate (900 mg) in $Et₂O$ (25 ml) was added to a stirred refluxing soln of LAH $(1·0$ g, 26 mmole) in Et₂O $(30$ ml). After 6 h reflux and 16 h at room temp the excess hydride was decomposed using sat. Rochelle salt soln. The ppt was removed by filtration through celite and the soln dried (MgSO,). Removal of the solvent afforded 12 (1.7 g, 95%), b.p. 86°/12 mm; [a] $^{27}_{D}$ +170° (1.2%) CHCI₃); ν max (CHCI₃) 1678 cm⁻¹ (weak); NMR (CCL₄) δ 0.96 $(3H, d; J = 7 Hz)$, 1.01 (3H, S), 1.05 (3H,S) 5.15 (H,t; $J = 3 Hz$); GLC (IS', 5% OV 17, ISO", I5 psi) Rt 22 min. (Found: C, 88.28; H, 11.48. $C_{14}H_{22}$ requires: C, 88.36; H, 11.64%).

2ß - Methyl - 7,7 - dimethyltricyclo [6.2.1.0^{1.6}] - undec - 5 - ene (3). To an ice-cold stirred soln of $2(1.0 g, 4.85 mmole)$ in $CH₂Cl₂$ (30 ml) containing Et_3N (3 ml) was added MeSO₂Cl (1-1 g, 9.7 mmole) in $CH₂Cl₂$ (3 ml). The soln was stirred at 0° for 0.5 h. Work up as for 11 afforded the crude mesylate $(1.52 g)$ which crystallised on standing, ν max (CHCl,)1360, 970, 855 cm⁻¹; NMR (CCL) δ 0.99 (3H,S), 1.03 (3H,S), 2.86 (3H,S), 3.88 (H,q; J = 8, 10 Hz), 4.11 (H,q; $J = 7$, 10 Hz), 5.13 (H,t; $J = 4$ Hz). Accurate mass 284.1435 (4%, M⁺), C₁₅H₂₄O₃S (-4 ppm); 188.1554 (20), $C_{14}H_{20}(-6)$; 173.1331(38), $C_{12}H_{17}(O)$; 145.1011(100), $C_{11}H_{13}(-4)$.

A soln of the crude mesylate $(1.4 g)$ in Et₂O was added dropwise with stirring to a refluxing soln of LAH $(1.2 g, 31.5 m$ mole) in Et₂O (40 ml). The mixture was refluxed for 5 h and worked up as previously described for 11 to give 3 (850 mg, 92%), b.p. $100^{\circ}/0.1$ mm; $[\alpha]_D^{23}$ +150° (1.25% CHCl₃); vmax (CHCl₃) 1680 cm⁻¹ (weak); NMR (CCL) S 0.86 (3H,d; J = 7 Hz), 0.96 (3H,S), I.02 (3H,S), 5.12 (H,t, J = 4 Hz); GLC (15', 15% OV 17, 150°, 15 psi) Rt 22 min. (Found: C, 88.06; H, 11.45. C,,H, requires: C, 88.35; H, 11.65%).

2a - *Methyl* - 5a - hydroxy - 6aH - 7,7 - dimelhyllticyclo- $[6.2.1.0]$ ⁶] - undecane (37). A soln of BF₃.Et₂O (0.45 ml, 4.1 mmole) in anhyd THF (4 ml) was added during 1 h to a stirred soln of 12 (100 mg, 0.53 mmole) and NaBH, (100 mg, 2.6 mmole) in anhyd THF (3 ml) under N₂. After 2 h, excess hydride was decom-

posed with $H₂O$. 3N NaOH (0.8 ml) was added followed by 30% H,O, (0.8 ml, 8 mmole) and the mixture was saturated with NaCl and extracted with Et₂O. The Et₂O was washed with brine and dried (MgSO.). Removal of the solvent followed by chromatography of the residue over silica (5 g). Elution with 20% Et₂O in light petroleum gave a mixture of alcohols. The major epimer (37) m.p. 105-5-106-5° was obtained by recrystallisation from hexane, $[\alpha]_D^{21}$ +12.7° (1.27% CHCl₃); vmax (CHCl₃) 3610, 3480 cm⁻¹; NMR (CCL) δ 0.95 (3H, d; J = 6 Hz), 1.04 (3H, S), 1.11 (3H, S) 3.42 (H, m) 0.92 (H,S exchanges with D₂O); GLC (10', 10% carbowax, 190° 20 psi) Rt 15.75 (major) 13.75 (minor) min. (Found: C, 80.42; H, 11.58. C,,H,O requires: C, 80.71; H, 11.61%).

28 - *Methyl* - 5a - *hydmxy* - *6crH* - *7,7* - *dimethyltricyclo- [6.2.1.0"6]* - *undecane (36).* A soln of 1 MB,H, in THF (lOml, 10 mmole) was added to a soln of 3 (1.08 g, 5.7 mmole) in anhyd THF (10 ml) and the reactants stirred at room temp for 18 h. Excess hydride was cautiously decomposed with H₂O and 3N NaOH (10 ml) added followed by 30% H_2O_2 (5 ml, 50 mmole). After work up as for 37 the crude product was chromatographed over silica (40 g). Elution with 20% Et₂O in pentane afforded 36 (1.05; 90%) crystallised from pentane, m.p. 81-82° [α]²² +78° (1·04%, CHCl₃); ν max (CCL) 3580, 3470 cm⁻¹; NMR (CCL) δ 0.80 (3H,d; J = 7 Hz); 1.00 (3H,S); 1.03 (3H,S) 3.30 (H,m); GLC (9, 7% carbowax 150', 20psi) Rt 18.5 min. (Found: C, 80.83; H, 11.55. C,,H,O requires: C, 80.71; H, 11.61%).

2a - Methyl - 5 - 0x0 - 6aH - 7,7 - *dimethyltnkyclo[6.2.1.0"*] undecane (42).* 1 M aqueous chromic acid (0.15 ml, 50% excess) was added to a soln of 37 (30 mg, 0.144 mmole) in Et₂O (1 ml). The mixture was stirred at room temp, diluted with Et,0 and washed with NaHCO, and brine then dried (MgSO,). Removal of the solvent followed by silica chromatography (eluate 20% Et₂O in pentane) gave 42. b.p. 120°/0.1 mm; m.p. 30° $[\alpha]_D^{26}$ +143° (0.93%) CHCI,); vmax (CCL) 1695 cm-'; NMR (CCL) 8 0.93 (3H,S), 0.98 $(3H,d; J = 7 Hz)$, 1.15 $(3H,S)$ 2.16 $(2H, m)$; GLC $(20', 20\%$ DEGS +2% bentonite 200' 30 psi) Rt 17.5 min; CD (0.113% MeOH) AE,,, 0, ΔE_{297} +0.46, ΔE_{242} +0.65 (Found: C, 81.79; H, 10.90. C_{14} H₂₂O requires: C, 81.50; H, 10~75%).

2,!3 - *Methyl - 5 - 0x0 - 6aH - 7,7* - *dimethyltricyclo[6.2.1.0"6] undecane (26).* To a soln of 36 (943 mg, 4.54 mmole) in ether (12 ml) was added 1 M aqueous chromic acid (3.34 MI, 10% excess). The heterogeneous mixture was gently stirred at room temp for 2.25 h then diluted with H₂O. The ether layer was washed with NaHCO, aq, brine and dried (MgSO₄). Removal of the solvent and silica chromatography (eluate 10% Et₂O in pentane) afforded 26, b.p. 124°/0·15 mm; m.p. 30° $[\alpha]_D^{26}$ +142° (1.01% CHCl₃); ν max (CCL) 1700 cm^{-1} ; NMR (CCL) δ 0.96 (3H,S), 0.98 (3H,d, J = 6 Hz), 1.17 (3H,S); CD (0.114% MeOH) ΔE_{333} -0.03, ΔE_{303} +0.16, ΔE_{297} +0.15, ΔE_{240} 0, ΔE_{211} +0.55 (Found: C, 81.74; H, 10.76. $C_{14}H_{22}$ requires: C, 81.50; H, 10.75%).

2a - *Methyl* - *5,6a//3 epoxy* - *7.7 - dimtrhyltricyclo[6.2.1.0"L] undecane* (39) and (40). A soln of 12 (250 mg, 1.32 mmole) in Et₂O (8 ml) was cooled to 0° and a soln of m-chloroperbenzoic acid (295 mg, 1.72 mmole) in Et₂O (8 ml) added. After 15 h at room temp in the absence of light the excess peracid was decomposed by 10% NaHSO,aq. The Et,0 layer was washed with NaHCO, aq, brine, and dried (MgSO.). Removal of the solvent gave the crude epoxide mixture (39 and 48) as a colourless oil, ν max (CCL) 925, 945, 970 cm⁻¹; NMR (CCL) δ 0.75 (3H,S) 3.10

(H, broad s); minor isomer (\triangle /H) 2.08 (H,m). Ratio of epoxides from NMR 4: 1; Mass Spec *m/e* 206 (M-).

20 - *Methyl* - 5,6a - *epoxy* - 7,7 - *dimethyltricyclo - [6.2.1.0"6] undecane* (24). In the way described for epoxidation of 12, 24 was prepared from 3 (250 mg, 1.32 mmole) in Et₂O (5 ml) and mchloroperbenzoic acid (290 mg, 1.69 mmole) in Et₂O (5 ml) as a colourless oil (277 mg), b.p. 95°, 0.4 mm; $[\alpha]_D^{23}$ +11° (0.64%, CHCI,); vmax (CCL) 1000, %5,930 cm-'; NMR (CCL) S 0.79 (3H, d $J = 7$ Hz), 0.72 (3H,S), 0.86 (3H,S) 2.95 (H,d $J = 5$ Hz). Mass spec. m/-e 206.1667 (85%, M⁺), C₁₄H₂₂O (-2ppm); 191.1443 (63) $C_{13}H_{19}O$ (4); 162-1122 (100), $C_{11}H_{19}O$ (-1). (Found; C, 81.58 H, 10.89. C₁₄H₂₂O requires: C, 81.50; H, 10.75%).

Rearrangement of epoxide (24); 2β - Methyl - 5 - oxo - 6 β - 7,7 dimethyltricyclo $[6.2.1.0]$ ⁶] - undecane (25) and 2a - hydroxy - 5 *methyl* - 11,ll - dimethyltricyclo[6.2.1.0'~] - *undec* - 5 - ene (27). BF_3 E_1 , $O(0.2 \text{ ml}, 1.6 \text{ mmole})$ was added to a soln of 24 (215 mg, 1.04 mmole) in anhyd $Et₂O$ (4 ml). The reactants were mixed and allowed to stand at room temp for 23 h and the soln was then diluted with $Et₂O$, washed with NaHCO₃ aq, brine and dried (MgSO.). The solvent was removed and the crude product chromatographed over silica (10 g). Elution with 10% Et₂O in pentane gave 25 (42 mg, 19%), b.p. 70°/0·1 mm; m.p. 26-31°; $[\alpha]_D^{24}$ -9.3° (0.75% CHCI₃); ν max 1712 cm⁻¹; NMR (CCL) δ 0.98 $(3H,S)$, 1.16 $(3H,d~J=7 Hz)$, 1.20 $(3H,S)$; CD $(0.038\% \text{ MeOH})$ ΔE_{330} 0, ΔE_{296} -0.56, ΔE_{254} 0. (Found: C, 81.79; H, 10.65. C₁₄H₂₂O requires: C, 81.50, H, 10.75%). Elution with 20% Et₂O in pentane gave 27 (130 mg, 6%), m.p. 76-77 (hexane); $[\alpha]_D^{23}$ -157° (1.96%) CHCI₁); ν max (CCL) 3480, 3600 cm⁻¹ 0.77 (3H,S), 1.05 (3H,S) 1.49 (3H,S), 1.20 (H,S—exchanges with D₂O), 3.60 (H,q; J = 4 11 Hz); GLC 10', 10% carbowax, 150°, 30 psi Rt 26 min; Mass spec. m/e 206.1660 (29% M⁺), C₁₄H₂₂O (-5 ppm); 188.1560 (23), C₁₄H₂₀ (-2); 145.1024 (100), C_1 , H₁₃(5). (Found: C, 81.56; H, 10.74. C_1 , H₂₂O requires: C, 81.50; H, 10.75%).

Equilibration of *kefone (25) to* 6a-isomer (26). The ketone 25 (10 mg) ν max 1712 cm⁻¹ was dissolved in CCL₄ (1 ml) and 70% HCIO, aq (2 drops) added. After 2 h stirring at room temp the soln was diluted with pentane and washed with NaHCO, aq, brine and dried (MgSO₄). Removal of the solvent gave a colourless oil (8 mg) which was identical by IR to 26, ν max (CCL) 1700 cm⁻¹; GLC 10^o 10% carbowax. 180" 25osi Rt 12min (26. 86%). 10.75 min (25. 14%).

2 - 0x0 - 5 - *methyl* - 1 I ,I 1 - dimefhyltricyclo [6.2.1 .O' *']undec ene* (29). I M Chromic acid (0.95 ml, 0.95 mmole) was added to a soln of 27 (236 mg, 1.14 mmole) in Et₂O (6 ml). After 2.25 h stirring the mixture was diluted with $Et₂O$ and washed with $H₂O$, NaHCO, aq, brine and dried (MgSO.). The solvent was removed and chromatography over silica $(20g)$ (eluate 10% Et₂O in light petroleum) afforded 29 (230mg. 98%) as a colourless oil, b.p. 60°/0 \cdot 01 mm; [α] 24 +72° (0 \cdot 89% CHCl₃); ν max (CHCl₃) 1690 cm⁻¹ NMR (CCL) δ 0.86 (3H, S), 1.12 (3H, S), 1.63 (3H, S), Mass spec. *m/e* 204.1515 (88%, M^{*}), C₁₄H₂₀O (0ppm); 189.1275 (12), $C_{13}H_{17}O (-2)$; 161.0962 (72) $C_{11}H_{13}O (-3)$; 125.0956 (100), $C_{8}H_{13}O$ (-8). GLC 10', 10% carbowax 180°, 20 psi, Rt 12 min. (Found: C, 82.39; H, 9.93 $C_{14}H_{20}O$ requires: C, 82.30; 9.87%).

Methyl ketopinate (31). Furfuraldehyde (94 mg, 0-98 mmole) in MeGH was added to a soln of 29 (100 mg, 0*49mmole) in MeOH (10 ml). 40% KOH aq (0.5 ml) was then added and the mixture allowed to stand in the dark for 20 h under N_2 . Brine was added and the mixture was extracted with Et₂O which was washed with brine then dried (MgSO.). Removal of the solvent and crystallisation of the residue from hexane-ether gave the pure furfurylidene ketone (96 mg, 70%) as pale yellow rods, m.p. 166–166.5°; [α]² -34° (0.77%, CHCI,); Ymax (CHCI,) 1670 cm-'; Amax (EtOH) 327 nm (18.050). NMR (CCL) 6 084 (3H,S), 1.25 (3H,S), 1.71 (3H,S), 3.36 (2H, broad m), 6.45 (H,m), 6.57 (H,m), 7.25 (H,m), 7.52 (H,m); Mass spec. m/e 282.1628 (71%, M⁺), C₁₉H₂₂O₂ (3 ppm); 267.1270 (92), $C_{18}H_{19}O_2$ (-6); 239.1068 (100), $C_{16}H_{15}O_2$ (-2). (Found: C, 80.60; H, 8.10. $C_{19}H_{22}O_2$ requires: C, 80.81; H, 7.85%).

The furfurylidene ketone (60 mg, 0.213 mmole) in CH_2Cl_2 (20 ml) was cooled to -70° and ozonised until blue. Excess O_3 was evaporated under N_2 and the soln warmed to room temp then reduced in volume to ca 10 ml. 50% HIO₄ aq (0.3 ml), HOAc (10 ml) and water (3 ml) were added and the resulting homogeneous soln stirred at room temp for 20 h. Brine was added and Et₂O extract washed with NaHCO, aq. The alkaline layer was acidified and extracted with $Et₂O$. Removal of the $Et₂O$ gave crude acidic material which was converted into the methyl esters (58 mg) by $CH₂N₂/Et₂O$. Chromatography over silica (3g) and elution with 20% Et₂O in pentane gave 30 (40 mg), NMR (CCL) δ 1.03 (3H,S), 1.29 (3H,S), 160 (3H,S) 260 (2H,S allylic), 3.61 (3H,S), 3.63 $(3H,S).$

The diester 30 (40 mg) in t-BuOH (10 ml) was treated with a soln of NaIO₄ (0.17 g), K₂CO₃ (0.04 g) and KMnO₄ (0.04 g) in H₂O (10 ml). The mixture was warmed at 60" for 3 h followed by removal of t-BuOH *in vacuo* and acidification with 6N HCl. Na₂SO, was added and the resulting clear soln extracted with Et,O. The Et,0 was washed with NaHCO,, brine and dried (MgSO.). Removal of the solvent and preparative TLC allowed the isolation of pure methyl ketopinate (5.2 mg) which was identical to authentic material by comparison of TLC, NMR, IR, Mass spec and GLC TLC (pentane-ether 7:3) *R*, 0.34; vmax (CHCl₃) 1748 cm⁻ 1723 cm⁻¹; NMR (CCL), δ 1.06 (3H,S), 1.15 (3H,S), 3.69 (3H,S) GLC 10', 10% carbowax 200°, 20 psi Rt 9.25 min, mass spec m/e 1% (M'), 168, 165.

Reduction of 2 - 0x0 - 5 - *methyl* - 11,ll - dimefhyltricyclo- $[6.2.1.0¹⁶]$ - *undec* - 5 - *ene* (29). A mixture (35 mg) containing 37% 27 and 63% 29 was reduced by NaBH, (150 mg, 4 mmole) in MeGH at room temp for 1 h then heated under reflux for 1 h. The soln was cooled, diluted with brine and extracted with Et₅O which was dried (MgSO₄). Removal of the solvent gave a mixture of 27 (56%) and 28 (44%); ν max (CHCl₃) 3480, 3620 cm $'$; GLC 10', 10% carbowax, 150°, 30 psi, Rt (27), 27:5 min; (28) 21 min; NMR (CCL) δ 0.97 (3H,S), 1.03 (3H,S), 1.56 (3H,S) in addition to Me signals of 27.

Rearrangement of epoxide *mixture* 39/40; 2a - methyl - 5 - α ₀ - 6 α / β - 7.7 - dimethyltric vclo [6.2.1.0[}] ⁶]undecane 41/42 and 2a - hydroxy - 5 - *methyl* - Il.1 I - dimethyIrricyclo[6.2.1.0]undec - 5 - ene (27). BF_1 Et_2O (0.1 ml, 0.8 mmole) was added to the total mixture $39/40$ (300 mg, 1.46 mmole) in dry Et₂O. After 19h at room temp the soln was diluted with Et₂O, washed NaHCO₃, brine and dried (MgSO.). Removal of the solvent gave a crude product which was chromatographed over silica (15 g). Elution with 10% Et,0 in pentane afforded 41 and 42 (95 mg, 35% based on olefin). The major epimer 41 was obtained from early chromatographic fractions as an oil, b.p. 120°/0·1 mm; $[\alpha]_D^{26} - 16^\circ$ (0·84%, CHCl₃); ν max (CCL) 1712 cm⁻¹ NMR (CCL) δ 1.00 (3H,S), 1.22 (3H,S), 0.99 (3H,d, $J = 7$ Hz); Mass spec m/e 206.1669 (74%, M⁺), $C_{14}H_{22}O$ (-1 ppm); 191.1437 (37), $C_{13}H_{19}O$ (0); 177.1287 (100), $C_{12}H_{17}O$ (4), CD (0.098% MeOH) ΔE_{330} 0, ΔE_{294} -0.73, ΔE_{233} 0. (Found: C, 81.43 H, 10.55 C₁₄H₂₂O requires: C, 81.50; H, 10.75%). The minor ketone was shown to be 42 by IR NMR, and GLC

comparison. Further elution with 20% Et₂O in pentane gave rearranged 27

 $(68 \text{ mg}, 35\%$ based on olefin) identical to that obtained via rearrangement of 24.

The epimer 28 was not detected by GLC analysis of the crude product of rearrangement of the mixture 39 and 40.

Equilibration of kefones 41 *and 42.* A mixture (10 mg) of the ketones 41 and 42 in ratio 2: 1 dissolved in CCL (1 ml) containing 2 drops 70% HCIO, aq. After 2 h the soln was diluted with pentane and washed with NaHCO₃ aq, brine and dried (MgSO₄). Removal of the solvent gave a mixture *(9* mg) of 41 and 42 in the ratio 1: 1 by GLC analysis. A similar epimerisation of 41 and 42 in ratio *2:3* gave the same $1:1$ equilibrium ratio of 41 and 42.

2a - *Mefhyl* - *S&6,9* - *dihydroxy* - *7,7 - dimethyltricyclo- [6.2.1.0"6]undecane* (19). 0~0, (308 mg, 1.18 mmole) was added to 12 (200 mg, 1.05 mmole) in dry $Et₂O$ (10 ml). Pyridine (0.5 ml) was then added and the mixture was stirred with a soln of sodium metabisulphite (750 mg) in $H₂O$ (6 ml)/pyridine (4.5 ml) for 2.5 h. The solvent was then removed in vacuo and the residue extracted with $Et₂O$, washed with $H₂O$ and dried (MgSO.). Removal of the solvent followed by chromatography over silica (15 g) gave a mix-

ture of diols, 19 was obtained by recrystallisation from hexane, m.p. 122-123°; *v*max (CHCl₃) 3570 cm⁻¹; NMR (CCL) δ 0.85 $(3H,d J = 7 Hz)$, 1.01 (3H,S), 1.10 (3H,S), 4.10 (H,m); mass spec *m/e* 224.1767 (7%, M⁺), C₁₄H₂₄O₂ (-4 ppm); 206.1667 (100), $C_{14}H_{22}O$ (-2). (Found: C, 75.26; H, 10.74 $C_{14}H_{24}O_2$ requires: 74.95: H. 10.78%). The minor diol 26 was obtained from an earlv fraction from the chromatography; NMR (CCL) δ 0.91 (3H,S), 1.01 (3H,d J = 7 Hz), 1.14 (3H,S), 3.80 (H,m).

2a - *Methyl - 6a* - *hydroxy L6.2.1 .O'b]~ndecune (34)* - *7,7* - *dimethyltricyclo-*

(a) From olefin 12. The mixture of $39/40$ (300 mg, 1.46 mmole) in anhyd Et₂O (8 ml) was added to a soln of LAH (400 mg, 10.5 mmole) in anhyd $Et₂O$ (15 ml). The mixture was stirred under reflux for 2.5 h then cooled to room temp. Excess hydride was carefully decomposed by sat Rochelle salt and the inorganic ppt was removed by filtration through celite. The Et₂O soln was dried $(MgSO₄)$ and the solvent removed followed by chromatography over silica (12g). Elution with 10% Et₂O in pentane gave 34 together with 20% of the 68 epimer by GLC analysis. recrystallisation from pentane afforded the pure 6α alcohol 34, m.p. 79-80° $[\alpha]_D^{23}$ -13.4 (1.76%, CHCl₁), ν max (CHCl₁) 3650 cm⁻¹; NMR (CCL) δ 0.87 (3H,S), 0.91 (3H,S), 1.02 (3H,d J = 7), mass spec *m/e* 208-1823 (27%, M⁺) C₁₄H₂₂O (-2 ppm); 193-1596 (21), C₁₃H₂₁O (2); 190.1724 (13), C₁₄H₂₂ (1); 165.1269 (60), C₁₁H₁₇O (-6); 147.1167 (60), C₁₁H₁₅ (-5); 125-0961 (100), C₈H₁₃O (-4); GLC 9, 7% carbowax, Iso", 20 psi, Rt 9 min (6pOH) 9.75 min *(6aOH).* (at higher temp small amounts of dehydration products detected) (Found: C, 80.61; H, 11.48. C₁₄H₂₂O requires: C, 80.71; H, 11.61%).

(b) From the olefinic ester 10 via diol 35. m-Chloroperbenzoic acid (153 mg, 0.89 mmole) in Et₂O was added to an ice-cold soln of 10 **(IfSmg, 048** mmole) in Et,O. After 24 h at room temp in the dark the reaction was worked up as for above epoxidation. A mixture of epoxides (170 mg) was obtained; NMR (CCL) major isomer

 δ 0.84 (3H,S), 1.00 (3H,S) 3.16 (H,m $\sqrt{2}$ H), 3.60 (3H,S); minor

isomer δ 0.91 (3H, S), 0.98 (3H, S), 3.05 (H, m \sum H), 3.60 (3H, S); $\nu_{\text{max}}(CCL)$ 1735 cm⁻¹; mass spec m/e 250 (M⁻).

The epoxide mixture (160 mg, 0.64 mmole) in Et₂O (5 ml) was added to a soln of LAH (160 mg, 4.2 mmole) in anhyd $Et₂O$ and the mixture stirred under reflux for 3.5 h and worked up as described for 34. The crude product contained 26% of the minor isomer by GLC. Chromatography over silica $(8 g)$ and elution with 30% Et₂O in pentane gave 35 plus the 6β epimer. Recrystallisation from pentane-Et,0 of fractions rich in the major diol(3S) provided pure material, m.p. 158-158-5°; $[\alpha]_D^{24}$ -12.6° (0.52% CHCl₃); vmax 3620 (weak) 34OOcm-'; NMR (CCI,) 6 0.95 (3H.S), 098 (3H,S), 3.03 (2H,S exch. D,O), 3.76 (2H,m); mass spec *m/e* 224.1771 (12% M^{*}), C₁₄H₂₄O₂ (-2 ppm); 206.1663 (20), C₁₄H₂₂O (-4); 193.1594 (34) , C₁₃H₂₁O (1); 69.0712 (100), C₃H₉ (11); GLC 10', 10% carbowax, 220", 40 psi Rt 18 min (minor), 20 min (major). (Found: C. 74.66; H, 10.70. C₁₄H₂₄O₂ requires: C, 74.95; H, 10.78%).

To the homogeneous diol 35 (60 mg, 0.27 mmole) in $CH₂Cl₂$ (4 ml)/Et₃N (0.4 ml) was added CH₃SO₂Cl (100 mg, 0.88 mmole)) in $CH₂Cl₂$ at 0° with stirring. After 1 h the soln was diluted with Et,0 and washed with 0.5NHC1, NaHCO,aq, brine then dried (MgSO₄). Removal of the solvent gave an oil (95 mg) ν max (CHCI,) 3580, 1360, 1185, 990, 96Ocm.'; NMR (CCL), 6 0.89 $(3H,S)$, 0.94 $(3H,S)$, 2.85 $(3H,S)$, 4.47 $(H,t: J = 10 Hz)$, 4.14 $(H,q;$ $J = 4$, 10 Hz).

The crude mesylate $(70 \text{ mg}, 0.23 \text{ mmole})$ in Et₂O (5 ml) was added to a stirred refluxing soln of LAH (100 mg, 2.63 mmole) in anhyd Et,0 (IO ml). After 5 h reflux and IO h at room temp the reaction was worked up as in method (a) for (34) to give a tert alcohol (42 mg, 90%) identical with 34 produced from 12 by IR, NMR, *MS,* and GLC.

- *hydroxy* - 7,7 - *dimethyltricycfo -* $[6.2.1.0^{1.6}]$ undecane (32)

(a) *From olefin* (3). Following the procedure described for the α -Me series (34), epoxide 24 (270 mg, 1.31 mmole) in anhyd Et₂O (8 ml) was added to LAH $(200 \text{ mg}, 5.27 \text{ mmole})$ in anhyd $Et₂O$ (10 ml). After 2.25 h reflux, the reaction was **worked** up as for 34 to give an oil which was chromatographed on silica (208) to yield starting epoxide (80 mg, 30%), eluted with 5% Et₂O in pentane followed by the tert alcohol 32 (124 mg, 46%) eluted with 10% Et₂O in pentane. Recrystallisation from pentane at low temp gave 32, m.p. 48.5-49.5°; $[\alpha]_D^{22}$ 46.7° (1.91% CHCl₃); ν max 3620, 3480 cm⁻ NMR (CCL) δ 0.91 (6H,S), 0.87 (3H,d J = 6 Hz); mass spec 208.1824 (23%, M⁺), C₁₄H₂₂O (-2ppm); 193.1597 (15), C₁₃H₂₁O (2); 190-1718 (11), $C_{14}H_{22}$ (-2); 125-0963 (100), $C_{8}H_{12}O$ (-3); GLC 10' 10% carbowax, 180°, 20 psi Rt 15.5 min. (Found: C, 80.78; H, 11.61 $C_{14}H_{24}O$ requires: C, 80.71; H, 11.61%).

(b) *From the olefinic ester* 1 via diol 33. m-Chloroperbenzoic acid (310 mg, 1.8 mmole) in Et₂O (6 ml) was added to 1 (320 mg, 1.37 mmole) in Et₂O (6 ml) at 0°. After 16 h at room temp the reaction was worked up as for 35 to give the epoxy ester (325 mg); ν max (CCL) 1735 cm⁻¹; NMR (CCL) δ 0.75 (3H,S, 0.94 (3H,S), 3.07 (H,d; J = 5 Hz); 3.59 (3H,S); mass spec *m/e* 250.1558 (65%) M⁺), C₁₅H₂₂O₃ (-4 ppm); 235.1332 (46), C₁₄H₁₂O₃ (-5); 232.1468 $(55), C_{15}H_{20}O_2$ (2); 221·1182 (95), $C_{13}H_{12}O_3$ (2); 91·0549 (100), C_2H_2 (1)

The crude epoxy ester (275 mg, 1.1 mmole) in anhyd $Et₂O$ (IO ml) was added to a soln of LAH (300 mg, 7.9 mmole) in anhyd Et,0 (5 ml). After 2 h reflux the reaction was worked up as for 35 and the crude product chromatographed over silica (10 g). Elution with 50% EtOAc in benzene afforded the pure 33 (129mg, 53%). m.p. 142-143° (Et₂O); [α]²⁴ +51° (0.65% CHCl₃); vmax (CHCl₃) 3620, 3480 cm-': NMR (CDCI,) 6 0.95 (6H,S) I.30 (3H, S exch. D₂O), 3.40 (H,q; J = 8, 11 Hz), 3.84 (H,q J = 4, 11 Hz); mass spec m/e 224.1780 (13%), $C_{14}H_{24}O_2$ (2 ppm); 206.1675 (25), $C_{14}H_{22}O$ (2); 141-0906 (100), C_6H_1 , O_2 (-7). (Found: C, 74.84; H, 10.73. CI,H2102 requires: C, 74.95; H, 10.78%).

A soln of $CH₃SO₂Cl$ (77 mg, 0.67 mmole) in $CH₂Cl₂$ (1 ml) was added to 33 (75 mg, 0.336 mmole) in $CH₂Cl₂$ (4 ml) as 0-5°. After 30min the product was isolated as in the case *of 35,* as an oil (95 mg, 94%); vmax (CHCl₃) 3600 (weak), 1360, 960, 980 cm⁻¹; NMR (CCL) S O-93 f6H.S). 2.87 f3H.s). 3.95 G&o: J = 2. 10Hz). 4.28 (lLq, j=4,]OHz).' " *" ..' . ~~ "*

The crude mesylate (70 mg, 0.232 mmole) in anhyd Et₂O (5 ml) was added to a stirred refluxing soln of LAH (120 mg, 3.16 mmole) in anhyd Et₂O (10 ml). The mixture was stirred under reflux for 5 h and worked up as for the preparation of 34 by method (b) to give a crude product which was chromatographed over silica (5 8). Elution with 10% Et₂O in pentane gave 32 (28 mg, 58%) identical with material prepared from 3.

Acknowledgements-We thank the Carnegie Trust for the Universities of Scotland, and the S.R.C. for postgraduate awards respectively to N. J. H. and C. W. G. We are indebted to Dr. P. Scopes, Westfield College for C.D. measurements and thank Prof. J. K. Sutherland (Manchester) and I. S. E. HoIker (Liverpool) for stimulating discussions.

REFERENCES

'D. F. MacSweeney and R. Ramage, *Tetrahedron 27,1481(1971)* ²F. Kido, H. Uda and A. Yoshikoshi, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin 1, 1755 (1973)

- ³G. Buchi, W. Hofheinz and J. V. Paukstelis, J. Am. Chem. Soc. "W. Moffitt, R. B. Woodward, A. Moscowitz, W. Klyne and C.
91, Greengrass (1969) Djerassi, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 83, 4013 (1961)
- 'A. F. Cameron, N. J. Hair, C. W. Greengrass and R. Ramage Acta Cryst. **B30**, 282 (1974)
'R. F. Zurcher, *Helv. Chim. Acta* 46, 2054 (1963)
-
- Djerassi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 83, 4013 (1961)
⁷D. N. Kirk and M. P. Hartshorn, *Tetrahedron* 21, 1547 (1965)
- ²D. M. Bronwer and H. Hogeveen, *Rec. Trav. Chim.* 89, 211 (1970)